

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/080,914	02/22/2002		Randy Harris	291958173US	7791
25096	7590	09/08/2005		EXAMINER	
PERKINS (P	LEADER, WILLIAM T		
P.O. BOX 13			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SEATTLE,	WA 9811	1-1247	1742		

DATE MAILED: 09/08/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/080,914	HARRIS ET AL.
Examiner-induced interview duminary	Examiner	Art Unit
	William T. Leader	1742
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) William T. Leader.	(3)	
(2) <u>Jphn Wechkin</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 7 September 2005	Time: <u>11:30 am EDT</u>	
Type of Interview: ☑ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applica	nt's representative)	
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.	٠	
Rejection(s) discussed: Rejections of record		8
Claims discussed: All independent claims		
Prior art documents discussed: Discussed prior art listed in the IDS filed by applicant on August	9, 2005.	
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:
Part III.	•	
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
•	•	
	•	
· ·		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Sig	gnature – if appropriate)

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413B (04-03) Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The claim limitations were compared to the disclosures of Thompson et al (5,168,866) and Curtis et al (6,264,752). It was Examiner Leader's position that these references disclosed the limitations of at least some of the pending claims. Mr. Wechkin argued that at least some of the claims, particularly claim 15, differed from the teachings of the references. It was agreed that the references would be further reviewed and an additional interview would be conducted prior to the expiration of the six month time period from the date of the final rejection.

ROY KING

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNGLOGY CENTER 1700